

Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Bhatia in combination with Buckley.

Bern, Archer, Buckley, Bachner and Corrigan, like Bhatia, apparently fails to disclose or suggest that "*the second message includes a message hook having an executable program*", as recited in independent Claims 1 and 16, and similar features recited in independent Claims 25, 29, 34 and 40.


Accordingly, withdrawal of these rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) is respectfully requested.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that each of the presently pending claims (Claims 1-43) is in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is requested. Examiner is invited to contact the Applicants' representative at the below-listed telephone number if it is believed that the prosecution of this application may be assisted thereby. Although only certain arguments regarding patentability are set forth herein, there may be other arguments and reasons why the claimed invention is patentable. Applicant reserves the right to raise these arguments in the future.

Dated: August 3, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By 
M. David Ream
Registration No.: 35,333
DARBY & DARBY P.C.
P.O. Box 770
Church Street Station
New York, New York 10008-0770
(206) 262-8915
(212) 527-7701 (Fax)
Attorneys/Agents For Applicant